Abstract—A simple and effective technique for designing transformer-type Marchand baluns is presented in this paper. The coupled-line sections required in a Marchand balun design are realized using planar transformers with a scalable model. The proposed design technique enables the prediction and optimization of Marchand balun performance over a wide range of layout and process parameters. To demonstrate the optimization process, Marchand baluns are designed for implementation using silicon and glass integrated passive device (IPD) technologies. Finally, experimental verification shows very good agreement between the modeled and measured results, thereby validating the IPD design optimization.

Index Terms—Integrated passive device, Marchand balun, planar transformer, scalable model.

I. INTRODUCTION

A BALUN is an important component in balanced microwave front-end circuits such as balanced mixers [1], and push–pull amplifiers [2], and also in several other applications. Baluns are mainly used to convert an unbalanced input signal into two balanced output signals. Baluns can be further classified into active and passive baluns. The major advantage of active baluns is their small size, which makes them suitable for integration into integrated circuits. However, the performance of active baluns in terms of their linearity, noise figure, and balance property is usually unsatisfactory [3]. Passive baluns can be subclassified as lumped-element baluns and Marchand baluns. Lumped-element baluns provide balanced outputs only around the designed frequency, and the balance degrades severely at frequencies away from this frequency [4]. Marchand baluns [5] have received a great deal of attention because of their compact size, good balance performance, and wide operating frequency range. Marchand baluns can be made of coupled-line sections [6], Lange couplers [7], or planar transformers [8]. A Marchand balun that employs planar transformers has the advantages of a compact layout and increased mutual coupling, leading to a shorter required metal length for a given operating frequency. Hence, such a transformer-type Marchand balun has been widely used in double-balanced mixer radio frequency integrated circuit designs [9].

The Marchand balun model has been analyzed using a curve-fitting technique for the measurements [1]. However, it is difficult to correlate this type of empirical model with new or modified designs, because it is not directly linked to the physical parameters of the balun. Conversely, electromagnetic simulation can provide a physical-structure-based analysis [8], however, it requires long computation time and is not easy to tune the multiple parameters of the balun to achieve desired performance, especially when optimization has been enabled. Complicated analytical formulas for Marchand baluns have been reported [6], but the extension of this model to an equivalent circuit model is not straightforward. In general, distinct guidelines for the circuit design and optimization of Marchand baluns are not available.

In this paper, we propose a scalable transformer model in integrated passive device (IPD) technology to correlate with the coupled-line sections of a conventional Marchand balun. The coupled-line section can be modeled as an equivalent lumped transformer circuit based on our previous work [10]. This improves the efficiency of the design of planar transformers with equivalent coupled-line parameters such as the coupling factor, and even- and odd-mode characteristic impedances, and $Q$ factors. Additionally, the proposed model-based design approach provides effective optimization techniques that incorporate geometrical and material parameters. Accordingly, through the manufacture of IPD on silicon and glass substrates, this paper explores the achievement of transformer-type Marchand balun designs that are optimized for minimum insertion loss (IL) while providing good impedance matching and balance over a wide operating frequency range.

II. IPD BALUN STRUCTURE

The current trend toward further miniaturization of electronic system products increases the demands for their high
performance, increased reliability, and reduced cost. More functions continue to be added to electronic products, yet, their overall size continues to shrink. However, the use of a larger number of passive components in an electronic system will not only require a larger area but also increase the assembly cost. System-in-package (SiP) offers a promising solution for improving device performance and decreasing their size for high-volume applications of passive components. Among the various SiP approaches, the fabrication of wafer-level IPDs [11]–[13] is the most attractive way to overcome the above-mentioned drawbacks. The main feature of such IPDs is that they are fabricated by the same process or an additional one during the fabrication of the devices on the wafer.

The IPDs described in this paper were constructed by applying thin-film process technology to specially prepared silicon-or-glass substrates. A cross section of the structure is shown in Fig. 1(a). It consists of three layers of metal with a polyimide interlevel dielectric. The bottom metal layer is used exclusively for the bottom electrodes of capacitors. This bottom layer is of aluminum, and the top two layers, used for inductor coils, are 10-μm and 6-μm-thick layers of copper. The thin film build-up technology allows a high level of integration and meets the demands of basic passive elements, such as resistors, inductors, and capacitors. In this interconnection technology, it is possible to realize integrated passive components in the radio frequency (RF) front-end subsystem with high performance for wireless communication applications. Based on the above-mentioned fact that IPDs are generally fabricated by standard wafer fabrication technologies, it is possible to manufacture a small low-cost physical balun structure with excellent reproducibility.

Fig. 2 shows the proposed equivalent circuit for the planar transformers of a transformer-type Marchand balun. Each element in the equivalent circuit of Fig. 2 has the following physical properties: $R_s$ denotes the series resistance values of the spiral metal coils; the self-inductance and mutual inductance of the transformer coils are denoted as $L_s$ and $M$, respectively; the capacitance $C_{ps}$ is used to model the mutual electrical coupling of the two interwound coils; $C_s$ denotes the inter-coil and underpass capacitance; $C_{po}$ is the spiral coil-to-substrate coupling capacitance; and $R_{sub}$ and $C_{sub}$ denote the lossy substrate resistance and capacitance, respectively.

In order to determine the conditions for model equivalence between the coupled-line section and the planar transformer, an even- and odd-mode analysis is employed, this analysis decomposes the circuits into two-port networks for simplifying the complexity of the problem [15], [16]. Figs. 3(a) and 4(a) show the even- and odd-mode circuits, respectively, of a coupled-line section. The characteristic impedances of the even- and odd-mode transmission lines can be denoted as $Z_{oe}$ and $Z_{0o}$, respectively. The length of the coupled-line section is equal to $l$. The even- and odd-mode circuits corresponding to a symmetric transformer are shown in Figs. 3(b) and 4(b), respectively.

The $S$ parameters of a lossy transmission line with an electrical length of $\pi/2$ that uses the even-mode characteristic impedance $Z_{0e}$ or the odd-mode characteristic impedance $Z_{0o}$, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 4(a), respectively, can be obtained
as follows:

\[
S_{210e} = \frac{2}{j \left[ 2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0e}} \right) + \left( \frac{Z_{0e}}{Z_0} + \frac{Z_0}{Z_{0e}} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0e}} \right) \right]}
\]

(1)

\[
S_{110e} = \frac{2}{2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0e}} \right) + \left( \frac{Z_{0e}}{Z_0} + \frac{Z_0}{Z_{0e}} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0e}} \right)}
\]

(2)

\[
S_{210o} = \frac{2}{j \left[ 2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0o}} \right) + \left( \frac{Z_{0o}}{Z_0} + \frac{Z_0}{Z_{0o}} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0o}} \right) \right]}
\]

(3)

\[
S_{110o} = \frac{2}{2 \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0o}} \right) + \left( \frac{Z_{0o}}{Z_0} + \frac{Z_0}{Z_{0o}} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{\pi}{Q_{0o}} \right)}
\]

(4)

where \( Z_0 \) is the terminal impedance and \( Q_{0e} \) and \( Q_{0o} \) are the even- and odd-mode \( Q \) factor, respectively. An analysis of a Marchand balun based on coupled-line section can generally obtain the following scattering matrix [17]:

\[
[S]_{\text{Marchand balun}} = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} \\
S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} \\
S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
x_{1} + y_{1} - 4z_{0} & y_{2} - 2x_{2} + x_{2} & -x_{2} - 2x_{2} + x_{2} \\
x_{2} - 2x_{2} - x_{2} + x_{2} & x_{1} + y_{1} - 4z_{0} & y_{2} - 2x_{2} + x_{2} \\
-x_{2} - 2x_{2} + x_{2} & -x_{2} - 2x_{2} + x_{2} & x_{1} + y_{1} - 4z_{0}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(5)

where

\[
x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{R + \frac{2TCI - T^2(R + 1) - C^2(R + 1)}{(R + 1)(R + 1) - I^2}}{(R + 1)(R + 1) - I^2} \right]
\]

(6)

\[
y_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{R + \frac{2TCI - T^2(R + 1) - C^2(R + 1)}{(R + 1)(R + 1) - I^2}}{(R + 1)(R + 1) - I^2} \right]
\]

(7)

\[
x_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{I + \frac{(T^2 + C^2) - 2(R + 1)TC}{(R + 1)^2 - I^2}}{(R + 1)^2 - I^2} \right]
\]

(8)

\[
y_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{R + \frac{2TCI - T^2(R + 1) - C^2(R + 1)}{(R + 1)(R + 1) - I^2}}{(R + 1)(R + 1) - I^2} \right]
\]

(9)

with \( R, T, C, \) and \( I \) denoting the reflection, transmission, coupling, and isolation coefficient, respectively, of the coupled-line section. With the help of even- and odd-mode analysis [18], the four coefficients \( R, T, C, \) and \( I \) can be determined in terms of the even- and odd-mode scattering parameters that are shown in (1)–(4). With (10), the IL of a balun can be further estimated as [8]

\[
IL \approx -10 \cdot \log \left( |S_{21}|^2 + |S_{31}|^2 \right) \text{ (dB)}
\]

(10)

The common-mode rejection ratio (CMRR) is a figure of merit for characterizing a three-port Marchand balun and is widely used to evaluate the performance of balanced circuits. CMRR is defined as the ratio of the magnitude of the differential mode transmission coefficient to that of the common mode transmission coefficient [19]. The CMRR of a three-port balun can be expressed in terms of the three port single-ended \( S \) parameters as

\[
\text{CMRR} = 20 \cdot \log \left( \frac{|S_{21} - S_{31}|}{|S_{21} + S_{31}|} \right) \text{ (dB)}
\]

(11)

Equations (5)–(9) indicate that the balun design can be reduced to an optimum coupled-line design. As mentioned above, a coupled-line section is equivalent to the four-port symmetric transformer model. Thus, the values of \( Z_{0e} \) and \( Z_{0o} \) can be derived by equating their even-mode circuit and odd-mode circuit, respectively, and are expressed as follows:

\[
Z_{0e} \approx \sqrt{\frac{(L_z + M - \alpha^2)}{R_{c_b}}}
\]

(12)

\[
Z_{0o} \approx \sqrt{\frac{(L_z + M - \alpha^2)}{R_{c_b}}}
\]

(13)

The most popular definition of the \( Q \) factor of a transmission line is that it is the ratio of the phase constant \( \beta \) to twice the attenuation constant \( \alpha \) [18]. What follows indicates the procedure for extracting the effective complex propagation constant \( \gamma = \alpha + j\beta \) from the modeled two-port \( S \) parameters. As shown in Figs. 3(a) and 4(a), the even-mode complex propagation constant \( \gamma_e \) and the odd-mode complex propagation constant \( \gamma_o \) were extracted from the two-port \( S \) parameters as [20]

\[
e^{\gamma_i} \approx e^{(\alpha_i + j\beta_i)} i
\]

(14)

where \( i = e \) or \( o \), and \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \) are the complex propagation constant, attenuation constant, and phase constant of mode \( i \), respectively.

Starting from the proposed transformer model for Marchand baluns, scalable physical lumped models for transformers can be developed, and the model elements can be determined from an analytical expression that uses the geometric and process technology data. With a physical parameterized layout scheme, the designer can quickly optimize the planar transformer geometry that best suits the needs of Marchand balun designs. Further details will be discussed in the following sections.

IV. TRANSFORMER MODEL WITH SCALABILITY

The exact self-inductances of the primary and secondary ports of the symmetrical transformer can be calculated using...
the empirical formula provided in [21] for general planar inductors

\[
L_s = 2l \left( \ln \frac{2l}{w} + 0.5 + \frac{w + t}{3l} \right) (nH) \tag{15}
\]

where \( l, w, \) and \( t \) denote the length, width, and thickness, respectively, of the coiled conductor strip in centimeters. When designing a balun, it is first necessary to determine the length of the interwinding metal strip. By analogy with the coupled-line theory, the band center occurs at the quarter-wavelength resonant frequency of the individual segments. The mutual inductance \( M \) between two parallel conductors of equal length \( l \) and the geometric mean distance (GMD) are given by [22]

\[
M = 2lQ_m(nH) \tag{16}
\]

where

\[
Q_m = \ln \left[ \frac{l}{GMD} + \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{l}{GMD} \right)^2} \right] - \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{GMD}{l} \right)^2} + \frac{GMD}{l} \tag{17}
\]

\[
\ln GMD \approx \ln d - \frac{w^2}{12d^2} - \frac{w^4}{60d^4} - \frac{w^6}{168d^6} - \frac{w^8}{360d^8} - \frac{w^{10}}{660d^{10}}. \tag{18}
\]

Note that GMD is a function of the coil conductor strip width \( w \) and the distance \( d \) between the primary and secondary coils in a transformer. In Fig. 1(b), the distance \( d \) is equal to the sum of the conductor strip width \( w \) and spacing \( s \).

The self-capacitance and mutual capacitance are approximately calculated based on a parallel-plate structure using the following equations [23]:

\[
C_s = \frac{N_{t_f} w^2 e_{po}}{4 \pi d_o} (F) \tag{19}
\]

\[
C_{ps} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e_{po}}{s} l (F) \tag{20}
\]

\[
C_{po} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e_{po}}{t_{po}} l (F) \tag{21}
\]

\[
C_{sub} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{l}{w} C_{suba} (F) \tag{22}
\]

where \( N_{t_f} \) is the number of crossovers in winding a coil, \( e_{po} \) is the polymer permittivity, \( d_o \) is the height of a via between metals 1 and 2, \( t_{po} \) is the polymer dielectric thickness, and \( C_{suba} \) is the substrate capacitance per unit area.

Some resistances are included in the equivalent lumped models to account for the frequency-dependent losses, as shown in Fig. 2. The series resistance \( R_s \) is used to model the conductor loss dominant at lower frequencies, whereas \( R_{sub} \) is used to model the substrate loss dominant at higher frequencies. The estimation of the conductor loss resistance \( R_s \), which is based on [24], uses the following expression considering the skin depth:

\[
R_s = \frac{l}{w \sigma_c \delta (1 - e^{-l/\delta})} (\Omega) \tag{23}
\]

where \( \sigma_c \) and \( \delta \) denote the metal conductivity and skin depth, respectively. \( R_{sub} \) can be determined using the formula addressed in [25]

\[
R_{sub} = \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_{sub} l_{mp}} \times \ln \left( \frac{\pi w + 6h_{po} + l}{h_{sub}} \right) (\Omega) \tag{24}
\]

where \( l_{mp} \) is the mean perimeter of the primary turns, \( h_{po} \) is the distance between the strip and the substrate, and \( \sigma_{sub} \) and \( h_{sub} \) are the conductivity and thickness of the substrate, respectively.
TABLE II
SUMMARY OF EVEN- AND ODD-MODE PARAMETERS AND BALUN PERFORMANCE BY VARYING THE WIDTH AND SPACING OF A TRANSFORMER IN GLASS IPD TECHNOLOGY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>((w, s)) ((\mu m, \mu m))</th>
<th>(Z_{0e}) ((\Omega))</th>
<th>(Z_{0o}) ((\Omega))</th>
<th>(Q_{0e})</th>
<th>(Q_{0o})</th>
<th>(IL) ((dB))</th>
<th>(RL) ((dB))</th>
<th>Bandwidth ((%))</th>
<th>CMRR ((dB))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(10, 10) 525.8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10, 20) 517.5</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10, 30) 511.2</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20, 10) 369.6</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20, 20) 364.8</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20, 30) 359.8</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30, 10) 302.4</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30, 20) 298.8</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30, 30) 296.2</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40, 10) 268.9</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40, 20) 264.1</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40, 30) 262</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. DESIGN OPTIMIZATION AND RESULTS

On the basis of (5) and (10), the designed optimizations of high-performance Marchand baluns with different combinations of the geometric conductor linewidth \(w\) and line spacing \(s\) of a transformer are listed in Tables I and II for an IPD process on a silicon substrate and a glass substrate, respectively. These tables summarize the extracted results of a transformer-type Marchand balun design with the central frequency of 2.45 GHz. The geometric parameters \(w\) and \(s\) can be properly selected on the basis of the limitations of the fabrication process. The conductor width \(w\) ranges from 10 to 40 \(\mu m\) and the spacing \(s\) of the coupled line ranges from 10 to 30 \(\mu m\). It is seen that the even-mode characteristic impedance value can be decreased, the odd-mode characteristic impedance value can be increased, and the bandwidth can be reduced by increasing the metal mutual spacing, because of weakened coupling. In addition, the odd-mode characteristic impedance value is more sensitive to changes in \(s\) between the lines than the even-mode value. The minimum values of \(w\) and \(s\), which are both equal to 10 \(\mu m\), are defined by the foundry rules, highlighting the process limitations on performance syntheses of transformer-type Marchand baluns.

From these tables, we can also see that the return loss (RL) is relatively independent of the substrate materials for a given structure. The RL is mainly determined by the effective characteristic impedance of the coupled-line structure. The RL at the center frequency was found to be between 11 and 16 \(dB\) for the minimum spacing of 10 \(\mu m\), as can be observed from the tables. Interestingly, it is noted that the transformer-type Marchand balun designs on silicon and glass substrates can yield a wider bandwidth, significantly larger than 100\%, and a high CMRR (>20 \(dB\)) with good matching when \(s\) is chosen as 10 \(\mu m\) and \(w\) is in the range of 10 to 40 \(\mu m\). The bandwidth of the balun is primarily determined by \(s\) and is relatively sensitive to the mutual coupling of the coupled line. Increasing line spacing is equivalent to decreasing the mutual coupling, which determines the bandwidth of the balun. With combinations of \(s = 10 \mu m\) and \(w = 30 \mu m\) for the silicon substrate and \(s = 10 \mu m\) and \(w = 20 \mu m\) for the glass substrate, the desired designs can yield well-matched high performances, including the lowest IL with good impedance matching and high CMRR over a wide bandwidth. The lowest IL of the baluns on the silicon and glass substrates is 1.5 and 1 \(dB\), respectively.

To validate the optimized designs and their performance, Marchand baluns with the above-specified linewidth and spacing were implemented using IPD technology on silicon and glass substrates. Measurements were carried out on an Agilent RF four-port electrical network analyzer (ENA) (ENA, E5071B), and a cascade on-wafer RF probe station using a probe tip pitch of 150 \(\mu m\), with short-open-load-
Fig. 5. Photographs of the Marchand balun fabricated in glass IPD technology. (a) CMRR and (b) IL.

Fig. 6. Comparisons of modeled and measured S-parameters of the Marchand balun in silicon IPD technology (a) magnitude of $S_{11}$, (b) phase of $S_{11}$, (c) magnitude of $S_{21}$, (d) phase of $S_{21}$, (e) magnitude of $S_{31}$, and (f) phase of $S_{31}$.

Fig. 7. Modeled and measured results of the Marchand balun in silicon IPD technology. (a) CMRR and (b) IL.

through/reciprocal (SOLT/SOLR) probe-tip calibrations performed beforehand. Fig. 5(a) and (b) shows photographs of Marchand baluns fabricated by IPD technology on silicon and glass substrates, where the chip dimensions of the baluns were $1.7 \times 0.8$ and $1.8 \times 0.7$ mm$^2$, respectively.

A. Marchand Balun in Silicon IPD Technology

The $S$ parameters of the Marchand balun that was fabricated in the silicon IPD process were measured by an on-wafer measurement technique. Furthermore, the balun was modeled using the above-mentioned analysis and the measured results were compared. Fig. 6 shows comparisons of the modeled and measured results for the magnitude and phase responses of the proposed silicon-substrate-based IPD Marchand balun. Obviously, good agreement was achieved between the measurement and modeling results. As shown in Fig. 6(a), the measured RL was found to be better than 10 dB in the frequency band 1.5–4.1 GHz, the measured transmission loss was approximately 4.5 dB around the designed 2.45 GHz. The IL deviated from the ideal value by only 1.5 dB.

Fig. 6(c)–(f) shows the results of the balun’s transmission magnitude and phase responses $S_{21}$ and $S_{31}$. The magnitude imbalance and phase imbalance are calculated as $20 \log_{10}(|S_{21}/S_{31}|)$ and $180^\circ - |\angle(S_{21}) - \angle(S_{31})|$, respectively. From the measured results, the magnitude and phase imbalance are 0.3 dB and $2.5^\circ$, respectively, at the designed center frequency of 2.45 GHz. Fig. 7(a) shows the frequency dependence of the measured CMRR of 27 dB at 2.45 GHz. The IL, which is discussed later, is shown in Fig. 7(b). The minimum IL achieved at 2.45 GHz was about 1.5 dB, which is almost the same as that predicted by the proposed transformer model.

B. Marchand Balun in Glass IPD Technology

The modeled and measured $S$ parameters of a glass-substrate-based IPD Marchand balun are shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen from this figure that the modeled results
are in good agreement with the measured ones. Fig. 8(a) also shows that the measured RL is well matched and equal to 20 dB at about 2.45 GHz and found to be better than 10 dB in the frequency band 1.5–4.2 GHz. Fig. 8(c)–(f) shows the results of the balun’s transmission magnitude and phase responses $S_{21}$ and $S_{31}$. As was calculated from the measured results, the magnitude and phase imbalance at the designed center frequency of 2.45 GHz are 0.45 dB and 2.8°, respectively. Fig. 9(a) and (b) shows the plots of the CMRR performances of the glass-substrate-based IPD Marchand balun. At 2.45 GHz, the measured CMRR is 29 dB. The measured minimum IL is around 1 dB better than in the case of the silicon-substrate-based IPD Marchand balun design at the designed 2.45 GHz.

Table III presents a comparison of the 10-dB RL bandwidth, occupied size, in-band magnitude, phase imbalance, and CMRR of the transformer-type Marchand balun to those of the previously reported ones [26]–[28] implemented using various fabrication technologies. Notably, the ratio of the frequency range with more than 10-dB return loss to the nominal center frequency is referred to as the in-band bandwidth in percent. In addition to the in-band bandwidth, the in-band IL, magnitude imbalance, phase imbalance, and CMRR of the proposed balun are also compared with those reported in the previous works, as seen in Table III. The in-band CMRR of the proposed baluns is higher than that in the previous works because of the excellent performance of the former in terms of magnitude and phase imbalance. Although the reference designs [26], [27] have in-band bandwidths of 116% and 136%, respectively, the measured minimum IL and in-band CMRR are somewhat higher than 1 dB and lower than 20 dB. As shown in Table III, the proposed balun implemented on the IPD glass substrate has the lowest IL with a comparable in-band bandwidth exceeding 100% and an excellent CMRR over the in-band frequency range. Overall, the baluns developed and reported in this paper yield minimum IL with excellent CMRR performance within a small chip area. Notably, the chip area is an essential parameter to consider during the integration of an on-chip passive device into an RF circuit, especially when implemented in IPD technology. These performance characteristics are good enough to be directly applied to wireless local area network and Bluetooth products.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, transformer-type Marchand baluns consisting of multiple layers of metal and polyimide were designed, fabricated, and measured. Baluns with a transformer-type configuration were fabricated by IPD technology on silicon and glass substrates. The developed baluns with good magnitude balance and phase balance displayed higher in-band CMRR performances than previously developed baluns, including excellent ILs for 2.45-GHz wireless applications. In addition, a novel design procedure for Marchand baluns using a scalable transformer model was proposed. Because the model parameters were based on lumped values extracted from the physical structures of the devices, the models were useful for predicting the major characteristics from the design parameters of the baluns. The measurement results confirmed that the proposed model captured all the important features of the behaviors of transformer-type Marchand baluns. The measured results were validated by comparisons with modeling results obtained using the proposed model predictions. We believe that this balun design can be successfully employed in commercial applications.
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